

OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS

## 6.0 OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS

This section considers and discusses other topics identified in the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, including the potential for the proposed Project to induce growth and the identification of irreversible impacts.

### 6.1 SIGNIFICANT AND UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS

As demonstrated in the environmental impact analyses in Section 4.0 of this Environmental Impact Report (EIR), the proposed Project would not result in any significant and unavoidable environmental impacts.

### 6.2 SIGNIFICANT IRREVERSIBLE ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES

#### 6.2.1 Irreversible Commitment of Resources

The proposed Project involves utilizing the landfill gas (LFG) as a beneficial resource to generate Renewable Portfolio Standard eligible renewable energy. Considering the objective of the proposed Project is to beneficially use the LFG compared to baseline conditions that include flaring the LFG with no beneficial use, the proposed Project would not result in an irreversible commitment of resources.

#### 6.2.2 Irreversible Environmental Changes

Irreversible long-term environmental changes associated with the proposed Project would include the permanent disturbance of approximately 4.2.8 acres of previously undisturbed/undeveloped land adjacent to active landfill operations (see Table 1, Project Temporary and Permanent Site Modification). This increase in site development necessary to manage the LFG for renewable energy generation would result in a loss of some native vegetation due to vegetation clearance activities to comply with Glendale Fire Department (GFD) requirements. The potential impacts to biological resources would be mitigated to less than significant by incorporating Mitigation Measures BIO-1 through BIO-7. There would be an incremental increase in air emissions associated with combusting the LFG in reciprocating internal combustion engines compared to new flares that would be required by recent South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) rule development under the No Project Alternative. However, emissions and potential air quality impacts of the proposed Project would be below applicable thresholds of significance and less than significant. Therefore, few irreversible environmental changes are expected to occur.

#### 6.2.3 Potential Environmental Damage from Accidents

The Project site is located within a seismically active region and would be exposed to ground shaking during an earthquake. Conformance with the regulatory provisions of the City of Glendale, the California Building Code (CBC), and all other applicable building codes pertaining to construction standards would minimize, to the extent feasible, damage, and injuries in the event of such an occurrence.



## OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS

The modeling conducted for the worst-case release of aqueous ammonia used for emissions control during Project operation demonstrates that the public would not be exposed to ammonia concentrations that exceed public health standards. The City proposes to use R134a refrigerant or equivalent which is allowed by CARB and SCAQMD in the proposed facility's chiller system. R134a refrigerant is not a hazardous material and is commonly used instead of anhydrous ammonia which is a hazardous material. Additionally, Mitigation Measures FIRE-1, FIRE-2, and FIRE-3 would be implemented to reduce impacts resulting from a potential wildfire.

### 6.3 GROWTH INDUCING IMPACTS

Section 15126.2(d) of the CEQA Guidelines requires discussion of the ways by which a project may directly or indirectly foster spatial, economic, or population growth, including factors that would remove obstacles to such growth. This discussion should include characteristics of the project that may facilitate other activities that might significantly affect the environment. Growth should not be considered either beneficial or detrimental. It should also not be considered of little significance. The purpose of this section is to evaluate the growth inducing potential and impact of the proposed Project.

In general, a project may foster spatial, economic, or population growth if it meets any one of following criteria.

- Removal of an impediment to growth (e.g., establishing an essential public service or providing new access to an area)
- Economic expansion or growth (e.g., constructing additional housing, growth in employment)
- Establishment of a precedent-setting action (e.g., innovating, changing zoning)
- Development or encroachment in an isolated or adjacent area of open space (being constructed on a greenfield)

Should a project meet any of these criteria, it can be considered growth inducing. An evaluation of this proposed Project compared against these growth-inducing criteria is provided in the following.

#### 6.3.1 Removal of an Impediment to Growth

Growth in an area may result from removing physical impediments or restrictions to growth, as well as removing planning impediments caused by land use plans and policies. Physical growth impediments may include nonexistent or inadequate access to a site or a lack of essential public services, such as water or electricity. Planning impediments may include restrictive zoning and/or general plan designations.

The proposed Project involves utilizing existing LFG as a resource to generate renewable energy rather than combusting it in flares. The energy generated from the proposed Project would support existing City electrical demand and replace an equivalent amount of electricity that the City currently obtains through other sources, some of which could be carbon based. This would directly increase the City's ability to meet and exceed the State's Renewable Portfolio Standard requirements. Not beneficially using LFG to



## OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS

generate renewable energy would indirectly result in the City generating an equivalent amount of energy to serve City electrical demand through other sources, some of which could be carbon based and Renewable Portfolio Standard ineligible sources of electricity generation. The proposed Project would serve to support existing City electrical demand and would not substantially increase the City's electrical supply capacity that would have the potential to induce growth because it is a renewable replacement source of electricity to support existing electrical demand in order to meet the renewable portfolio standards.

The naturally occurring waste decomposition process at the closed and active portions of Scholl Canyon Landfill (SCLF) will continue for many years. Because LFG will continue to be produced regardless of whether SCLF continues to operate or closes and must continue to be collected and controlled, the proposed Project has independent utility from the SCLF operation and is not dependent on SCLF operation. The proposed Project does not involve construction of housing, a land use or zone change, or general plan amendment. Considering the above, the proposed Project would not remove an impediment to future growth.

### 6.3.2 Economic Growth

The second criterion by which induced growth can be measured involves economic factors. In the short-term, the proposed Project would provide construction opportunities. Long-term growth is not expected to occur, as the proposed Project is limited to assisting the City meet its current electrical demand and increasing state mandates of generating electricity from renewable resources by utilizing the LFG to generate electricity. Therefore, the proposed Project should not be considered growth inducing.

### 6.3.3 Precedent-Setting Actions

The proposed Project would have a beneficial contribution and is representative of the City of Glendale's effort to meet and exceed the State's Renewable Energy Standard Mandate. The proposed Project would not result in other precedent-setting actions.

### 6.3.4 Development on Isolated or Adjacent Area of Open Space

The proposed Project would encompass and be developed adjacent to the existing LFG collection and flaring facility on the property of the SCLF. While the majority of the site has been previously disturbed, the proposed Project would include the permanent disturbance development of approximately 4.782.2 acres of previously undisturbed/currently undeveloped land adjacent to active landfill operations which is designated Open Space (see Table 1, Project Temporary and Permanent Site Modification). This incremental increase in site development necessary to manage the LFG for renewable energy generation would result in a loss of some native vegetation. The potential impacts to biological resources would be mitigated to less than significant by incorporating Mitigation Measures BIO-1 through BIO-7. The proposed Project does not include the construction of residential land uses and maximizes the use of existing infrastructure to minimize disturbances to open space. The proposed Project does not include any component that has the potential for growth inducement from development on isolated or adjacent area of open space.



OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS

## 6.4 EFFECTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT

Based on the findings of the Initial Study, the proposed Project would result in no impact to the environmental factors shown below in Table 56.

**Table 56 Effects Found Not to be Significant**

Issues	No Impact
<b><u>AESTHETICS:</u></b> Would the proposed Project:	
<b>Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
There are no designated scenic vistas near the proposed Project site or within other parts of the existing SCLF, nor are there any designated scenic vistas from which the proposed Project would be visible. Therefore, the proposed Project would have no impact on a scenic vista.	
<b>Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
There are no state-designated scenic highways in the City of Glendale (DOT, 2017). Therefore, the proposed Project would not damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway. There would be no impact.	
<b><u>AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES:</u></b> Would the proposed Project:	
<b>Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
There is no Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance within or adjacent to the proposed Project area. No agricultural use zone currently exists within the City of Glendale, nor are any agricultural zones proposed. Therefore, no impacts related to the conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use would occur.	
<b>Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Project would not conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or a Williamson Act contract because no agricultural zones exist within the City of Glendale, nor is the proposed Project within or adjacent to agricultural land that would require a Williamson Act contract. Therefore, no impacts related to existing agricultural zone use or Williamson Act contracts would occur.	
<b>Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526, or timberland zoned Timberland Protection (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Project would not conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land, timberland, or timberland zoned Timberland Protection because none of the lands within or adjacent to the proposed Project are identified as "forest land," "timberland," or "Timberland Protection" as defined in the Public Resources Code Section 12220(g) and Section 4526, or Government Code Section 51104 (g). Therefore, no impacts related to zoning of forest land, timberland, or Timberland Protection would occur.	
<b>Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Project would not result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use because none of the lands within and adjacent to the proposed Project are identified as forest land as defined in the Public Code Section 12220(g). Therefore, no impacts related to loss or conversion of forest land would occur.	
<b>Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT  
CITY OF GLENDALE BIOGAS RENEWABLE GENERATION PROJECT

OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS

Issues	No Impact
<p>The Project would not involve other changes in the existing environment that could result in conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use because there is no farmland or forest land within or adjacent to the proposed Project area. Therefore, no impacts related to conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use would occur.</p>	
<p><b><u>AIR QUALITY:</u> Would the proposed Project:</b></p>	
<p><b>Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>There may be odors associated with the use or refuel of the diesel and gasoline powered equipment, or from painting activity or other surface treatments (i.e., building roofing or roadway paving) during construction and maintenance activities. These potential sources are expected to be temporary and highly localized and are common to conventional construction activities including those that routinely occur throughout the landfill operation area. The LFG gas collection system already exists, and the proposed Project does not include a component that would substantially increase the risk of a release of LFG that could create an odor. Considering the lack of substantial new odor sources associated with the proposed Project and the potential odors related to the existing landfill operation itself, the proposed Project would not result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people. No impact would occur.</p>	
<p><b><u>BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES:</u> Would the proposed Project:</b></p>	
<p><b>Conflict with the provisions of an adopted habitat conservation plan, natural community conservation plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>According to the Glendale General Plan, there is no habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan in the City of Glendale. There is, however, a Significant Ecological Area (SEA) program in the City of Glendale, which is implemented with the intention to preserve these designated sensitive areas. The Project site is not located within an SEA. As such, implementation of the proposed Project would not conflict with the SEA program or other habitat conservation plans. Therefore, there would be no impact.</p>	
<p><b><u>CULTURAL RESOURCES:</u> Would the proposed Project:</b></p>	
<p><b>Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Approximately 20.2 acres of land were inventoried to determine whether cultural resources would be affected by the proposed Project. There were no historical resources identified during the survey and no historical resources were previously documented within the proposed Project area (see Cultural Resources Assessment Report provided as Appendix A). Based on the findings in this study, the proposed Project will not cause a substantial adverse change to the significance of historical resources as defined in Section 15064.5, nor will the proposed Project have impacts on significant local resources as defined in Chapter 15.20 of the City of Glendale Municipal Code; therefore, there would be no impact.</p>	
<p><b>Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Similar in respect to historical resources, above, the potential to encounter archaeological resources is low because the majority of the proposed Project area has been previously disturbed by landfill and other urban activities. There were no archaeological resources identified during the survey and no archaeological resources were previously documented within the proposed Project area (see Cultural Resources Assessment Report provided as Appendix A). Based on the findings in this study the proposed Project will not cause a substantial adverse change to the significance of archaeological resources as defined in Section 15064.5, nor will the proposed Project have impacts on significant local resources as defined in Chapter 15.20 of the City of Glendale Municipal Code; therefore, there would be no impact.</p>	
<p><b>Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT  
CITY OF GLENDALE BIOGAS RENEWABLE GENERATION PROJECT**

**OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS**

Issues	No Impact
<p>The potential to disturb any human remains is low because the majority of the proposed Project area has been previously disturbed by landfill and other urban activities. The Project would not be expected to disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries; therefore, there would be no impact.</p> <p>In the event human remains are encountered during construction, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 requires that no further work shall continue at the location of the find until the County Coroner has made all the necessary findings as to the origin and distribution of such remains pursuant to Public Code Resources Code Section 5097.98. The County Coroner must be notified within 24 hours of the discovery, and within two working days of notification of the discovery shall make such a determination. If the County Coroner determines that the remains are or are believed to be Native American, the County Coroner shall notify the NAHC in Sacramento within 24 hours. In accordance with Section 5097.98 of the California PRC, the NAHC must immediately notify those persons it believes to be the most likely descended from the deceased Native American. The descendants shall complete their inspection within 48 hours of being granted access to the site. The designated Native American representative would then determine, in consultation with the County Construction Engineer, the treatment and disposition of the human remains.</p>	
<p><b><u>GEOLOGY AND SOILS:</u> Would the proposed Project:</b></p>	
<p><b>Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>The Project does not include the construction of new septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems. Therefore, there would be no impact.</p>	
<p><b>Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Similar in respect to historical resources and archaeological resources, above, the potential to encounter unique paleontological resources is low because the majority of the proposed Project area has been previously disturbed by landfill and other urban activities. The Project will not directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature; therefore, there would be no impact.</p>	
<p><b><u>HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS:</u> Would the proposed Project:</b></p>	
<p><b>Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>No schools are located or proposed to be located within 0.25 mile of any of the proposed Project components. The nearest school, Dahila Heights Elementary, is located approximately 1 mile to the southwest of the proposed Project site. Therefore, no impacts would occur.</p>	
<p><b>Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>As described above, no Cortese List (Government Code Section 65962.5) cleanup sites are located within an approximately two-mile radius of the proposed Project site. Therefore, there would be no impact.</p>	
<p><b>For a project located within an airport land use compatibility plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport, would the project result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>The Project is located approximately 10 miles from the nearest airport, Hollywood Burbank, in Burbank. The project location would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the proposed Project area. Therefore, there would be no impact.</p>	
<p><b>Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT  
CITY OF GLENDALE BIOGAS RENEWABLE GENERATION PROJECT

OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS

Issues	No Impact
<p>The Project would comply with all applicable emergency response plans and emergency evacuation plans adopted in accordance with Area Plan and Business Plan regulations (Health and Safety Code, §25500-25520 and <i>Cal. Code Reg., tit. 19, § 2720 et seq.</i>). In addition, the proposed Project does not include construction of residences or facilities that would require evacuation. As such, the proposed Project would not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.</p>	
<p><b><u>HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY:</u> Would the proposed Project:</b></p>	
<p><b>Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>The Project site is not located within a mapped groundwater basin. The closest groundwater basin is the San Fernando Valley Groundwater Basin of the South Coast Hydrologic Region (Number 4-12), located to the west of the site. Considering the fact that no groundwater recharge potential exists at the existing site and expansion of the existing facility would have no bearing on groundwater recharge capabilities, there would be no impact.</p>	
<p><b>In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to inundation?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>The Project site is located over 20 miles from the Pacific Ocean, at an elevation of 1,410 feet AMSL. Tsunamis typically affect coastlines and areas up to ¼-mile inland. Due to the proposed Project's distance from the coast, potential impacts related to a tsunami are non-existent. Additionally, the proposed Project site is not susceptible to impacts resulting from a seiche because of its distance from any enclosed bodies of water. The Project site is located in a FEMA National Flood Insurance Program Category Zone D on the Flood Insurance Rate Map, indicating the absence of any flood hazard. There would be no potential impact.</p>	
<p><b><u>LAND USE AND PLANNING:</u> Would the proposed Project:</b></p>	
<p><b>Physically divide and established community?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>The Project would not physically divide an established community because there are no existing residential uses or communities within the landfill property. In addition, the proposed Project would not involve the displacement of any residential uses of any land designated for residential uses within any of the parcels in which any components of the proposed Project transverses. Therefore, there would be no impact.</p>	
<p><b><u>MINERAL RESOURCES:</u> Would the proposed Project:</b></p>	
<p><b>Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource classified MRZ-2 by the State Geologist that would be of value to the region and the residents of the states?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>The Project area is designated as MRZ-3 where there are areas containing mineral deposits the significance of which cannot be evaluated from available data. Although data on mineral deposits is unavailable, the proposed Project is located within the boundaries of a landfill and therefore does not have the potential to adversely impact known mineral resources through loss of availability, nor is it located in an area designated as MRZ-2. Therefore, no impact is anticipated.</p>	
<p><b>Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>No locally important mineral resources are delineated within the proposed Project area or any other specific plan or land use plans. Therefore, implementation of the proposed Project would have no impact on the loss of availability of locally important mineral resources. Therefore, no impact is anticipated.</p>	
<p><b><u>NOISE:</u> Would the proposed Project:</b></p>	
<p><b>For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT  
CITY OF GLENDALE BIOGAS RENEWABLE GENERATION PROJECT

OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS

Issues	No Impact
<p>The Project is not located within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public or public use airport. The closest public airport is the Hollywood Burbank Airport located approximately ten miles west of the proposed Project. No impact would occur.</p>	
<p><b>POPULATION AND HOUSING:</b> Would the proposed Project:</p>	
<p><b>Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>The Project will convert methane-rich renewable LFG generated at the SCLF to fuel and produce electricity from a power generation facility. It will be operated by a total of four full-time personnel and two on call technicians from existing local resources. The Project does not include the construction of new homes or businesses or expand the capacity of any roads or existing infrastructure for residential uses, however, the proposed Project will require construction of new infrastructure to support the proposed Project. This infrastructure will not induce substantial population growth because all the infrastructure is associated with the LFG capture, generation and operating facilities. The Project will not change or conflict with the existing population, employment, housing policies, projections or distributions established by government agencies with jurisdiction over the proposed Project; therefore, there would be no impact.</p>	
<p><b>Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>The Project is located within the footprint of an existing landfill and would not include any activities that would affect or displace existing housing; therefore, there would be no impact.</p>	
<p><b>PUBLIC SERVICES:</b> Would the proposed Project:</p>	
<p><b>Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the public services:</b></p>	
<p><b>Fire protection?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>The Project would not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts.</p>	
<p><b>Police Protection?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>The Project does not include any residential development or other component that will substantially increase population growth or an increase in the demand for public services. Any anticipated calls for police protection would not likely require the need for additional police protective services. Construction impacts associated with the proposed Project would not result in substantial adverse physical impacts with the provision of newly constructed or physically altered governmental facilities. Police protection would continue to be provided and acceptable service ratios, response times and other performance objectives for the City would be maintained. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.</p>	
<p><b>Schools?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>There will be no population increase that would require additional schools. The Project does not include any residential development or other component that will substantially increase population growth and demand for public services. The Project would not require the provision of new or physically altered school facilities. No impacts are anticipated.</p>	
<p><b>Parks?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>There will be no population increase that would require additional park facilities. The Project does not include any residential development or other component that will substantially increase population growth and demand for public services. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.</p>	
<p><b>Other public facilities?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT  
CITY OF GLENDALE BIOGAS RENEWABLE GENERATION PROJECT

OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS

Issues	No Impact
The Project would create no demand on other public facilities which can be reasonably foreseen. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	
<b>RECREATION:</b> Would the proposed Project:	
<b>Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Project would not entail the construction of residential or commercial uses that would result in an increased use of area parks or recreational facilities. The Project will not increase the number of people utilizing local recreational areas. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	
<b>Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Project does not include a recreational facility component or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities. While the site is zoned Special Recreation, it is within the SCLF and is not used for recreation. Therefore, there would be no impact.	
<b>TRANSPORTATION:</b> Would the proposed Project:	
<b>Substantially increase hazards to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Only on-road vehicles will be accessing the site via the existing roadway network. The Project does not include or require design improvements or alterations to the public roadway network that could increase design or incompatible use hazards. There would be no impact.	
<b>TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES:</b> Would the proposed Project:	
<b>Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:</b>	
<b>Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Based on the results of the Cultural Resources Assessment Report (Appendix A), the proposed Project would not cause an adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource listed or eligible for listing in the CRHR, or in a local register of historical resources. The Project would have no impact to historical resources and no mitigation is required.	
<b>UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS:</b> Would the proposed Project:	
<b>Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Project does not include the development of water intensive land uses. Water use would be limited to that needed for dust control and soil compaction during construction, domestic/sanitary purposes for the four operators and two technicians would be responsible for operations and routine maintenance of the facility, and emergency fire protection. The Project would use limited volumes of water for these purposes that are well within GWP's water supply availability to service. Therefore, there would be no impact.	
<b>Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sewage from the proposed Project site goes to the Hyperion Treatment Plant, which the City has access to through the Amalgamated Agreement. The Hyperion Treatment Plant has a dry-weather design capacity of 450 million gallons per day (gpd) and is currently operating below its design capacity at 275 million gpd. As a result, adequate	



**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT  
CITY OF GLENDALE BIOGAS RENEWABLE GENERATION PROJECT**

**OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS**

<b>Issues</b>	<b>No Impact</b>
capacity exists to treat the incremental Project-generated effluent of 135 gpd (360 gpd total). The Project would not require the expansion or construction of wastewater treatment facilities. Therefore, there would be no impact.	
<b>Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>The adjacent SCLF operates with all necessary state and local permits and authorities, as described above. The Project would generate negligible quantities of solid waste but would still be subject to helping the City meet its waste diversion goal of 50 percent as mandated by State law (AB 939). The Project would comply with AB 939, known as the California Integrated Waste Management Act which requires 50 percent diversion of cities and counties solid waste from landfills by 2000, and AB 341, which establishes a State policy goal that no less than 75 percent of solid waste generated be source reduced, recycled, or composted by 2020, and the City's Construction and Demolition Debris Diversion Program; a GMC Code which states that demolition, construction and remodeling shall divert 50 percent of waste tonnage from area landfills.</p> <p>Demolition debris generated during construction will be sent to licensed recycling facilities as appropriate. Asphalt will be used by the Sanitation District for landfill road base and concrete will be used on the proposed Project site for road base. Approximately 75,000 cubic yards of clean soil will also be transferred to the adjacent landfill for daily cover. The Project would not generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals. The Project would comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste and no impact would occur.</p>	
<b>Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please see response to c), above.	
<b><u>WILDFIRE:</u> Would the proposed Project:</b>	
<b>Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>The Project could increase the risk of wildland fires as discussed; the proposed Project does not include an element that would conflict with the City of Glendale's Emergency Plan. The Los Angeles County Operational Area Primary Disaster Routes identified for the City of Glendale are State Route 134, State Route 2, and Interstate 5. The Secondary Disaster Routes in the City of Glendale are Verdugo Road/Canada Boulevard, Foothill Boulevard, Colorado Street, and San Fernando Road (Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 2012). Nearby Figueroa Street is also designated as a Secondary Disaster Route for the City of Los Angeles. It is important to note that according to Los Angeles County, disaster routes are not evacuation routes. The Project site is located approximately ½ mile from State Route 134 (the nearest Primary Disaster Route) and more than ¾ mile from the Figueroa Street (the nearest Secondary Disaster Route). The proposed Project would comply with all applicable emergency response plans and emergency evacuation plans adopted in accordance with Area Plan and Business Plan regulations (Health and Safety Code, §25500-25520 and Cal. Code Reg., tit. 19, § 2720 et seq.). In addition, the proposed Project does not include construction of residences that would require evacuation. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.</p>	

