Grayson Repowering
# Request for Qualification of Proposers
Engineer, Procure, Construct Contractor
Response to Proposer Questions

Question #	Addendum No.	Question	Answer	Status
1	1	Project Size – Pass/Fail test • Where the applicant or the engineer has a combined cycle project where the name plate or the project is slightly different than the minimum 70MW requirement and/or the actual operating condition of the plant is less than the nameplate value. How will the owner apply the pass/fail test? • For the pass/fail test shall we quote the nameplate condition as the qualifying value despite the actual gross MW value being slightly less than the 70 MW or is there a margin of error +/- on the requested MW capacity noted in qualifier (8)?	Language is modified to read: The Applicant and Engineer individually (unless the engineer participated in a project with the Applicant) shall each have a minimum experience completing two (2) separate gas-fired power plants one being a *combined cycle or combined heat power plant utilizing a 50MW class CTG(s) with a STG, in the last ten (10) years* within the United States. The remaining paragraph remains the same.	Closed
2	1	In parallel to the question on the project size (nameplate vs actual) is there any margin on the in-service year of the project(s). If the applicant or the engineer have a solid and relevant example of project that is within 10 years of the submission date, may these be submitted as part of the qualifications?	See above – projects complete in the last ten (10) years may be submitted as part of the qualifications	Closed
3	1	GIS Vendor – Pass/Fail Test • Most OEM's are responsible for the detailed design of the GIS equipment thus for the qualifier (9) can we simply shortlist and agree to use only those vendors who can meet the test for qualifying as our subcontractors?	Yes	Closed